

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bec 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1456 WWW.USRIO.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                          | F        | LING DATE  | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR     | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------|------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/037,098                               |          | 10/22/2001 | Martinus W.J.T. Kuijpers | P05392US0           | 5870             |
| 34082                                    | 7590     | 12/02/2003 |                          | EXAMINER            |                  |
| ZARLEY LAW FIRM P.L.C.<br>CAPITAL SQUARE |          |            |                          | BECKER, DREW E      |                  |
| 400 LOCUST, SUITE 200                    |          |            |                          | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| DES MOIN                                 | ES, IA 5 | 0309-2350  |                          | 1761                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|--|--|---|
| Office Action Comme  | 10/037,098   | KUIJPERS ET AL.   |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
| The MAN WOOD STEEL STATE OF THE | Drew E Becker  | 1761  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The mail in the property of the mail in    | pears on the cover sheet with  | the correspondence address  |
| MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Propriet plus specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replay of period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute.  | 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep<br>ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (<br>will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH   | ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication.  |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ja  | <u>anuary 2003</u> .   |   |
| This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This  | action is non-final.   |   |
| Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E   | nce except for formal matter<br>Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.   | s, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |
| on of Claims   |  |   |
| Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.  |  |   |
| on Papers  | ·  |   |
| The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   | epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)  | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).   |
| nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |   |
| <ul> <li>All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list coknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic note a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78.</li> <li>☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>   | s have been received. s have been received in Apprity documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1st sentence of the specification wisional application has been be priority under 35 U.S.C. § 8   | lication No ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. 1 received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific |
| (s)  |  |   |
| of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4   | 5) Notice of Infor   | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>mal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
|  | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nisons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 14 J This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-25 is/are withdray Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 10-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certified copies of the priority documents accopies of the certifie | Office Action Summary    Examiner   Drew E Becker   |

Application/Control Number: 10/037,098

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-18, drawn to a method of creating sausage, classified in class
     426, subclass 646.
- II. Claims 19-25, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 99, subclass 483. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of group II as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, for instance the extrusion of non-edible materials.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. During a telephone conversation with Donald Zarley on November 24, 2003 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of group I,

Application/Control Number: 10/037,098

Art Unit: 1761

claims 1-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 19-25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Claim 10 recites a "first longitudinal portion" and a "second longitudinal portion".
   Its not clear whether the portions are in a lengthwise sequence, or whether they are stacked above one another.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/037,098

Art Unit: 1761

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

11. Claims 10-11, 13, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0720816A1.

EP 0720816A1 teach a method of making sausage by extruding a meat strand out of a tube (Figure 1. #2 & 12), separating the meat into a linear fiber portion and random fiber portion (Figure 2, #6 & 8), separating the strand into links (column 5, line 11), applying heat to curve the links (column 6, lines 5-10), heating via hot air (column 5, line 26), and heating via liquid smoke which inherently contained water (column 6, line 59).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 12, 14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0720816A1.

EP 0720816A1 teaches the above mentioned concepts as well as heating at 50-95°C (column 5, line 26). EP 0720816A1 does not recite frying, steaming, or microwaving. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to heat the sausage of EP 0720816A1 via frying, steaming, and microwaving since EP 0720816A1 already taught

Art Unit: 1761

heating via different modes such as hot air and liquid smoke (column 5, line 26; column 6, line 59) and since frying, steaming, and microwaving were all commonly known methods of heating foods such as sausage.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 14. Claims 1-9 are allowed.
- 15. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the method of creating curved sausage links of independent claim 1 defines over the prior art of record since the prior art does not teach, suggest, nor render obvious partially restricting the flow of meat over and past a restriction element creating a first portion with linear fibers that gradually transitions to a second portion with random fibers.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Matthews et al [Pat. No. 4,731,906], Matthews et al [Pat. No. 4,921,714], Hawkins [Pat. No. 3,934,050], Supran et al [Pat. No. 3,834,849], and Kupcikevicius et al [Re. 30,390] teach methods of extruding sausage.

Art Unit: 1761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E Becker whose telephone number is 703-305-0300. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1495.

Drew E Becker Examiner Art Unit 1761